

ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS, HYPERBOLICITY, AND DENSITY MODULO ONE

A. GORODNIK AND S. KADYROV

ABSTRACT. We prove the density of the sets of the form

$$\{\lambda_1^m \mu_1^n \xi_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k^m \mu_k^n \xi_k : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

modulo one, where λ_i and μ_i are multiplicatively independent algebraic numbers satisfying some additional assumptions. The proof is based on analysing dynamics of higher-rank actions on compact abelian groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to generalise the following theorem of B. Kra [5]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p_i, q_i \geq 2$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, be integers such that*

- (a) *each pair (p_i, q_i) is multiplicatively independent,*¹
- (b) *for all $i \neq j$, $(p_i, q_i) \neq (p_j, q_j)$,*

Then for all real numbers ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, with at least one of ξ_i 's irrational, the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^n q_i^m \xi_i : m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is dense modulo one.

We prove an analogous results with p_i and q_i being algebraic numbers. For this we need to introduce the notion of hyperbolicity. A semigroup Σ consisting of algebraic numbers will be called *hyperbolic* provided that for every prime p (including $p = \infty$), if there is a field embedding $\theta : \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that

$$\theta(\Sigma) \not\subseteq \{z \mid |z|_p \leq 1\},$$

then for all field embeddings $\theta : \mathbb{Q}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, we have

$$\theta(\Sigma) \not\subseteq \{z \mid |z|_p = 1\}.$$

For example, if $\alpha > 1$ is a real algebraic integer, then the semigroup $\langle \alpha \rangle$ is hyperbolic provided that none of the Galois conjugates of α have absolute value one.

¹A pair (λ, μ) is called *multiplicatively independent* if $\lambda^m \neq \mu^n$ for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let λ_i, μ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, be real algebraic numbers satisfying $|\lambda_i|, |\mu_i| > 1$ such that*

- (a) *each pair (λ_i, μ_i) is multiplicatively independent,*
- (b) *for all $i \neq j$, $\theta \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, and $u \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\theta(\lambda_i)^u, \theta(\mu_i)^u) \neq (\lambda_j^u, \mu_j^u)$,*
- (c) *each semigroup $\langle \lambda_i, \mu_i \rangle$ is hyperbolic.*

Then for all real numbers ξ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, with at least one of ξ_i satisfying $\xi_i \notin \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i, \mu_i)$, the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^n \mu_i^m \xi_i : m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is dense modulo one.

Previously, D. Berend [4] have investigated the case $k = 1$, and R. Urban [6, 7, 8] have proved several partial results when $k = 2$.

In the next section, we introduce a compact abelian group Ω equipped with an action of a commutative semigroup Σ and show that the sequence that appears in the main theorem is closely related to a suitably chosen orbit $\Sigma\omega$ in Ω . More precisely, this sequence is obtained by applying a projection map $\Pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. This construction is analogous to the one of Berend in [4], but in the case $k > 1$, we have to deal with a larger space Ω where the structure of orbits of Σ is not well understood, and this requires several additional arguments. The idea of the proof is to show that the closure $\overline{\Sigma\omega}$ has an additional structure. In Section 3 we show that $\overline{\Sigma\omega}$ contains a torsion point. We note that the hyperbolicity assumption (c) is necessary for existence of a torsion point. Then using a limiting argument in a neighbourhood of this torsion point, we demonstrate in Section 4 that $\Sigma\omega$ approximates arbitrary long line segments. Finally, we complete the proof in Section 5 by showing that the projections under Π of such line segments cover \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . This is where the independence assumption (b) is used.

Acknowledgement. The first author is supported by EPSRC, ERC and RCUK, and the second author is supported by EPSRC.

2. SETTING

In this section, we construct a compact abelian group Ω and a commutative semigroup Σ of epimorphisms of Ω . We show that there is a natural projection map $\Pi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and for a suitably chosen $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$(1) \quad \Pi(\Sigma\omega) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^m \mu_i^n \xi_i : m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \pmod{1}.$$

This reduces the proof of the theorem to analysis of orbit structure of Σ in Ω .

Now we explain the details of this construction. Let K be a number field. We fix a basis β_1, \dots, β_r of the ring of algebraic integers of K . To every element $\alpha \in K$ we associate a matrix $M(\alpha) = (a_{jl}) \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{Q})$ determined by

$$(2) \quad \alpha \cdot \beta_j = \sum_{l=1}^r a_{jl} \beta_l, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

Suppose that $M(\alpha) \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{Z}[1/a])$ for some $a \in \mathbb{N}$, and a is minimal with this property. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_a^r &:= \mathbb{R}^r \times \prod_{p|a} \mathbb{Q}_p^r, \\ \Omega_a^r &= \tilde{\Omega}_a^r / \mathbb{Z}[1/a]^r, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[1/a]^r$ is embedded in $\tilde{\Omega}_a^r$ by the map $z \mapsto (z, -z, \dots, -z)$. Then Ω_a^r is a compact abelian group. Every matrix $M \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{Z}[1/a])$ naturally acts on $\tilde{\Omega}_a^r$ diagonally and defines a map

$$M : \Omega_a^r \rightarrow \Omega_a^r.$$

The distribution of orbits of such maps will play a crucial role in this paper.

The following lemma will be useful:

Lemma 2.1. *If a prime p divides a , then there is an embedding $\theta : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ such that $|\theta(\alpha)|_p > 1$.*

Proof. We write $a = p^n b$ with $\gcd(p, b) = 1$ and set $\beta = b\alpha$. It follows from (2) that for every Galois conjugate $\theta(\beta)$, the multiplication by $p^n \theta(\beta)$ preserves the integral module $\mathbb{Z}\theta(\beta_1) + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\theta(\beta_r)$. Therefore, $p^n \theta(\beta)$ is an algebraic integer, and $|\theta(\beta)|_q \leq 1$ for all Galois conjugates of β and all primes $q \neq p$. Suppose that also $|\theta(\beta)|_p \leq 1$ for all Galois conjugates of β . Then β is an algebraic integer and, in particular,

$$\beta \cdot \beta_j \in \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\beta_r$$

for all j . On the other hand, since a is minimal with the property $M(\alpha) \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathbb{Z}[1/a])$, it follows that

$$\beta \cdot \beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\beta_r$$

for some j . This contradiction shows that $|\theta(\alpha)|_p = |\theta(\beta)|_p > 1$ for some θ , as required. \square

Now we adopt this construction to our setting. Let K_i be a number field of degree r_i that contains λ_i and μ_i , and let $A_i = M(\lambda_i)$ and $B_i = M(\mu_i)$ be the matrices in $\text{Mat}_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}[1/a_i])$ defined as above, where $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ is minimal with this property. We denote by Σ_i the commutative semigroup generated

by A_i and B_i . This semigroup acts on $\tilde{\Omega}_{a_i}^{r_i}$ and $\Omega_{a_i}^{r_i}$. We also consider the semigroup

$$\Sigma := \{(A_1^n B_1^m, \dots, A_k^n B_k^m) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

generated by $A := (A_1, \dots, A_k)$ and $B := (B_1, \dots, B_k)$ that naturally acts on

$$\Omega := \prod_{i=1}^k \Omega_{a_i}^{r_i}.$$

We denote by $\pi : \tilde{\Omega} := \prod_{i=1}^k \tilde{\Omega}_{a_i}^{r_i} \rightarrow \Omega$ the corresponding projection map. We write

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{h_i} \mathbb{Q}_{p_{ij}}^{r_i}$$

where $p_{i1} = \infty, \dots, p_{ih_i}$ are the primes dividing a_i (here we write $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{R}$). We denote by $\{e_{ijl}\}$ the standard basis of $\tilde{\Omega}$, and introduce a projection map

$$(3) \quad \Pi : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} : \sum_{i,j,l} s_{ijl} e_{ijl} \mapsto \sum_{i,j} \{s_{ij1}\}_{p_{ij}} \pmod{1},$$

where $\{x\}_\infty$ denotes the usual fractional part, and $\{x\}_p$ denotes the p -adic fractional part. Namely, for $x = \sum_{u=-N}^\infty x_u p^u \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, we set $\{x\}_p = \sum_{u=-N}^{-1} x_u p^u$. It is easy to check Π is continuous, and

$$\Pi \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}[1/a_i]^{r_i} \right) = 0 \pmod{1}.$$

Hence, Π also defines a map $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

It follows from the definition of $A_i = M(\lambda_i)$ and $B_i = M(\mu_i)$ that they have a joint eigenvector $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^{r_i}$ with eigenvalues λ_i and μ_i respectively. Let us assume for now that the first coordinate of v_i is nonzero. Then we normalise v_i so that this coordinate is one. We set

$$v = \prod_{i=1}^k (\xi_i v_i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \tilde{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \pi(v) \in \Omega.$$

Then it follows from the definition of Π that (1) holds.

Although this construction may be applied to any choices of the number fields K_i , it is most convenient to choose these fields to be of the smallest size, and we adopt an idea from [2]. For every $i = 1, \dots, k$, we pick $l_i \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i^{l_i}, \mu_i^{l_i}) = \bigcap_{l=1}^\infty \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i^l, \mu_i^l)$, and we set $l_0 = \prod_{i=1}^k l_i$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i^{l_0}, \mu_i^{l_0}) = \bigcap_{l=1}^\infty \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i^l, \mu_i^l)$. We observe that the numbers $\lambda_i^{l_0}$ and $\mu_i^{l_0}$ are satisfying the assumptions Theorem 1.2, and if we prove the claim of the theorem for these numbers, then the theorem would follow for λ_i 's and μ_i 's as well. Hence, from now on we assume that $l_0 = 1$ and take $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i, \mu_i)$.

The main advantage of this construction is the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2. *There exists $C_i \in \Sigma_i$ such that the characteristic polynomial of C_i^u is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} for every $u \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. This follows from [2, Lemma 4.2]. Indeed, since $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i, \mu_i) = \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i^l, \mu_i^l)$, by this lemma there exists σ_i in the semigroup generated by λ_i and μ_i such that $\mathbb{Q}(\sigma_i^n) = \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i, \mu_i)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the matrix $C_i^n = M(\sigma_i^n) \in \text{Mat}_{r_i}(\mathbb{Z}[1/a_i])$ has an eigenvalue σ_i^n of degree r_i over \mathbb{Q} , the claim follows. \square

We denote by v_{il} , $1 \leq l \leq r_i$, the eigenvectors of the matrix C_i . Since all the eigenvalues of C_i are distinct, it follows that v_{il} 's are also eigenvectors of the whole semigroup Σ_i . For $D \in \Sigma_i$, we denote by $\lambda_{il}(D)$ the corresponding eigenvalue. In particular, we set $\lambda_{il} = \lambda_{il}(A_i)$ and $\mu_{il} = \lambda_{il}(B_i)$. We choose the indices, so that $\lambda_{i1} = \lambda_i$ and $\mu_{i1} = \mu_i$. Since the characteristic polynomial of C_i is irreducible, all the eigenvectors of v_{il} , $1 \leq l \leq r_i$, are conjugate under the Galois action, and it follows that their coordinates with respect to the standard basis are nonzero.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\lambda_{il_1}(C_i)^u \neq \lambda_{il_2}(C_i)^u$ for all $l_1 \neq l_2$ and $u \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, in particular,

$$(4) \quad (\lambda_{il_1}^u, \mu_{il_1}^u) \neq (\lambda_{il_2}^u, \mu_{il_2}^u) \quad \text{for all } l_1 \neq l_2 \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We also introduce an eigenbasis for the space $\tilde{\Omega}$. Let L_{ij} be the splitting field of the matrix C_i over $\mathbb{Q}_{p_{ij}}$. We set

$$V = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^{h_i} V_{ij} \quad \text{where } V_{ij} = L_{ij}^{r_i}.$$

We denote by v_{ijl} , $l = 1, \dots, r_i$, the basis of the factor V_{ij} consisting of eigenvectors of C_i chosen as above. Then v_{ijl} with $i = 1, \dots, k$, $j = 1, \dots, h_i$, $l = 1, \dots, r_i$ forms a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors of Σ . In these notation,

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^k \xi_i v_{i11} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \pi(v).$$

We normalise the eigenvectors v_{ijl} so that their first coordinates with respect to the standard bases of $L_{ij}^{r_i}$ are equal to one. Then the projection map Π is given by

$$(5) \quad \Pi \left(\sum_{i,j,l} c_{ijl} v_{ijl} \right) = \sum_{i,j,l} \{c_{ijl}\}_{p_{ij}} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

3. EXISTENCE OF TORSION ELEMENTS

In this section we investigate existence of torsion elements in closed Σ -invariant subsets of Ω and prove

Proposition 3.1. *Every closed Σ -invariant subset of Ω contains a torsion element.*

We start the proof with a lemma that generalises [2, Proposition 4.1], which dealt with toral automorphisms.

Lemma 3.2. *Every Σ_i -minimal subset of $\Omega_{a_i}^{r_i}$ consists of torsion elements.*

Proof. We consider the decomposition

$$V_{ij} = V_{ij}^{\leq 1} \oplus V_{ij}^{> 1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ij}^{\leq 1} &:= \langle v_{ijl} : |\lambda_{il}(D)|_{p_{ij}} \leq 1 \text{ for all } D \in \Sigma_i \rangle, \\ V_{ij}^{> 1} &:= \langle v_{ijl} : |\lambda_{il}(D)|_{p_{ij}} > 1 \text{ for some } D \in \Sigma_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, the assumption that the semigroup Σ_i is hyperbolic implies that for every i, j, l there exists $D \in \Sigma_i$ such that

$$(6) \quad |\lambda_{il}(D)|_{p_{ij}} \neq 1.$$

Let M be a Σ_i -minimal subset of $\Omega_{a_i}^{r_i}$. Suppose, first, that M is finite. We recall that the action of an element $D \in \Sigma_i$ on $\Omega_{a_i}^{r_i}$ is ergodic provided that it has no roots of unity as eigenvalues. In particular, it follows that $C_i \in \Sigma_i$ is ergodic. Now it follows from [3, Lemma II.15] that M consists of torsion elements.

Suppose that M is infinite. Then $M - M$ contains 0 as an accumulation point. Let $y_n \in \tilde{\Omega}_{a_i}^{r_i}$ be a sequence such that $y_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\pi(y_n) \in M - M$. If

$$y_n \notin V_i^{\leq 1} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{h_i} V_{ij}^{\leq 1}$$

for infinitely many n , then we may argue exactly as in Case I of [4, p. 252] (with $B = M$). We conclude that $M = \Omega_{a_i}^{r_i}$, which contradicts minimality of M . Hence, it remains to consider the case when every element x in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of 0 in $M - M$ is of the form $\pi(y)$ for some $y \in V_i^{\leq 1}$.

We take an ergodic element $D \in \Sigma_i$ and $M' \subset M$ a D -minimal subset. Then for every $x \in M'$, we have $D^{n_s}(x) \rightarrow x$ along a subsequence n_k . In particular, it follows that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(7) \quad D^n(x) - x = \pi(y)$$

with $y \in V_i^{\leq 1}$. It follows from (6) that there exists an element $E \in \Sigma_i$ such that

$$E^m(y) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Passing to a subsequence, we also obtain

$$E^{m_s}(x) \rightarrow z \in M.$$

Hence, applying E^{m_s} to both sides of (7), we conclude that $D^n(z) = z$, and by [3, Lemma II.15], z is a torsion element. Since M is Σ_i -minimal, it must consist of torsion elements. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We denote by $\Omega[\ell]$ the subset of elements whose order divides ℓ . We note that $\Omega[\ell]$ is finite (see [3, Lemma II.13]) and Σ -invariant.

Let M be a Σ -minimal set contained in a given closed Σ -invariant set. We use induction on k . The case when $k = 1$ is handled by Lemma 3.2. In particular, it follows that $p_1(M)$ contains a torsion element of order ℓ_1 , where $p_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_{a_1}^{r_1}$ denotes the projection map. Let

$$N = \left\{ y \in \prod_{i=2}^k \Omega_{a_i}^{r_i} : (x, y) \in M \text{ for some } x \in \Omega_{a_1}^{r_1}[\ell_1] \right\}.$$

Since N is non-empty, invariant, and closed, it follows from the inductive hypothesis that N contains a point y such that $\ell_2 y = 0$ for some $\ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then M contains (x, y) for some $x \in \Omega_{a_1}^{r_1}[\ell_1]$, and $(x, y) \in \Omega[\ell_1 \ell_2]$. \square

From Proposition 3.1, we also deduce

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a closed Σ -invariant set. Then there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and a torsion point $r \in M$ such that $A^s(r) = B^s(r) = r$.*

Proof. We recall that by [3, Lemma II.13] the set $\Omega[\ell]$ is finite. Since this set is clearly Σ -invariant, it follows from Proposition 3.1 that M contains a finite Σ -invariant set N consisting of torsion elements. We pick N to be a minimal set with these properties. Since $A(N) \subset N$ is also Σ -invariant, we conclude that $A(N) = N$ and similarly $B(N) = N$. Then it follows that $A|_N$ and $B|_N$ are bijections of the finite set N , and there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(A|_N)^s = (B|_N)^s = \text{id}$, which implies the lemma. \square

4. APPROXIMATION OF LONG LINE SEGMENTS

Let Υ' denote the set of accumulation points of $\Upsilon := \pi(\Sigma v) = \Sigma\omega$. The aim of this section is to show that one can approximate projections of arbitrary long line segments by points in Υ' . For this we recall that Υ' contains a torsion element r (see Proposition 3.1) and apply the action of Σ to a sequence $(x^{(s)})_{s \geq 1}$ contained in Υ and converging to r . To produce nontrivial limits, one needs additional properties of the sequence $x^{(s)}$ that are provided by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *For any point $x \in \Upsilon'$ there exists a sequence $x_s \in \Upsilon$ converging to x such that*

$$x^{(s)} = \pi(y^{(s)}) + x \quad \text{with } y^{(s)} \notin V^{\leq 1}, \quad y^{(s)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $V^{\leq 1} := \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{h_i} V_{ij}^{\leq 1}$.

Proof. To prove the lemma we use the assumption that $\xi_i \notin \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i, \mu_i)$ for some $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Let $(x^{(s)})_{s \geq 1}$ be a sequence of distinct points in $\Upsilon = \pi(\Sigma\omega)$ converging to x . We write

$$x^{(s)} = \pi(y^{(s)}) + x,$$

where $y^{(s)}$ is a sequence of points in $\tilde{\Omega}$ converging to zero. More explicitly,

$$x^{(s)} = \pi(A^{m(s)}B^{n(s)}v) = (x_1^{(s)}, \dots, x_k^{(s)})$$

for $m(s), n(s) \in \mathbb{N}$, where $x_i^{(s)} = \pi_i(A_i^{m(s)}B_i^{n(s)}\xi_i v_{i11}) = \pi_i(\lambda_i^{m(s)}\mu_i^{n(s)}\xi_i v_{i11})$.

Recall that we have assumed that $\xi_i \notin \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i, \mu_i)$ for some $i = 1, \dots, k$. We claim that for this i the sequence $(x_i^{(s)})_{s \geq 1}$ consists of distinct points. Indeed, suppose that $x_i^{(s_1)} = x_i^{(s_2)}$ for some $s_1 \neq s_2$. Then

$$(\lambda_i^{m(s_1)}\mu_i^{n(s_1)} - \lambda_i^{m(s_2)}\mu_i^{n(s_2)})\xi_i v_{i11} \in \ker(\pi_i).$$

Since the eigenvector v_{i11} cannot be proportional to a rational vector, we conclude that

$$\lambda_i^{m(s_1)}\mu_i^{n(s_1)} = \lambda_i^{m(s_2)}\mu_i^{n(s_2)},$$

and hence $m(s_1) = m(s_2)$ and $n(s_1) = n(s_2)$ because (λ_i, μ_i) is assumed to be multiplicatively independent. Then $x^{(s_1)} = x^{(s_2)}$, which gives a contradiction.

Now if we suppose that $y^{(s)}$ satisfies $y^{(s)} \in V^{\leq 1}$ for all sufficiently large s , then we can apply the argument of Case II in [4, p. 253] to the sequence $\{x_i^{(s)}\}$. This argument yields that $\xi_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i, \mu_i)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, by passing to a subsequence, we can arrange that $y^{(s)} \notin V^{\leq 1}$, as required. \square

Given a sequence $(y^{(s)})_{s \geq 1}$ as above, we denote by \mathcal{I} the set of indices (i, j, l) such that $y_{ijl}^{(s)} \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.2. *In Lemma 4.1, we can pick a sequence $(y^{(s)})_{s \geq 1}$ so that for some $D \in \Sigma$,*

- (i) $|\lambda_{il}(D)|_{p_{ij}} > 1$ for all $(i, j, l) \in \mathcal{I}$,
- (ii) $\lambda_{i_1 l_1}(D) \neq \lambda_{i_2 l_2}(D)$ for all $(i_1, j_1, l_1), (i_2, j_2, l_2) \in \mathcal{I}$ with $(i_1, l_1) \neq (i_2, l_2)$.

Proof. The proof relies on the independence property (b) of the main theorem of the pairs (λ_i, μ_i) .

We pick a sequence $(y^{(s)})_{s \geq 1}$ as in Lemma 4.1 with a minimal set of indices \mathcal{I} . Then by [3, Lemma II.7], for any $D \in \Sigma$ we have either $|\lambda_{il}(D)|_{p_{ij}} > 1$ for all $(i, j, l) \in \mathcal{I}$ or $|\lambda_{il}(D)|_{p_{ij}} \leq 1$ for all $(i, j, l) \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence, it follows from the hyperbolicity assumption (c) of the main theorem that either A or B

satisfies (i). Without loss of generality, we assume that A satisfies (i). Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A^n B$ satisfies (i) for all $n \geq n_0$. Now we show that $D := A^n B$ for some $n \geq n_0$ satisfies (ii), which is equivalent to showing that

$$(8) \quad \lambda_{a_1}^n \mu_{a_1} \neq \lambda_{a_2}^n \mu_{a_2}$$

for all $a_1 \neq a_2$ in the set $\mathcal{J} = \{(i, l) : 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq l \leq r_i\}$. We say that $a_1 \sim a_2$ if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda_{a_1}^n = \lambda_{a_2}^n$. It is easy to check that this is an equivalence relation and there exists m_0 such that $\lambda_{a_1}^{m_0} = \lambda_{a_2}^{m_0}$ for all a_1 and a_2 in the same equivalence class.

It follows from the independence assumption (b) of the main theorem and (4) that

$$(\lambda_{a_1}^u, \mu_{a_1}^u) \neq (\lambda_{a_2}^u, \mu_{a_2}^u) \quad \text{for all } a_1 \neq a_2 \text{ and } u \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, if a_1 and a_2 belong to the same equivalence class, then $\mu_{a_1}^{m_0} \neq \mu_{a_2}^{m_0}$ and, in particular, $\mu_{a_1} \neq \mu_{a_2}$. This implies that (8) holds within the same equivalence class when n is a multiple of m_0 .

Now we consider the case when $a_1 \neq a_2$ belong to different equivalence classes. If (8) fails for n' and n'' , then

$$\lambda_{a_1}^{n'-n''} = \lambda_{a_2}^{n'-n''},$$

and $n' = n''$. Hence, in this case (8) may fail only for finitely many n 's. Hence, if we take n to be a sufficiently large multiple of m_0 , then both (i) and (ii) hold. \square

We apply the argument of [3, Sec. II.3] to the sequence $(y^{(s)})_{s \geq 1}$ and $D \in \Sigma$ constructed in Lemma 4.2. This yields the following lemma (cf. [3, Lemma II.11]).

We say that a set Y is an ϵ -net for the set X if for every $x \in X$ there exists $y \in Y$ within distance ϵ from x .

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that Υ' contains a torsion point r fixed by Σ . Then there exist $D \in \Sigma$, a prime p , $\mathcal{J} \subset \{(i, j, l) \in \mathcal{I} : p_{ij} = p\}$, $c_b \neq 0$ with $b \in \mathcal{J}$ in a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , $u \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and t_m satisfying*

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} t_m \left(\max_{b \in \mathcal{J}} |\lambda_b(D)|_p \right)^m &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{when } p = \infty, \\ p^{-t_m} \left(\max_{b \in \mathcal{J}} |\lambda_b(D)|_p \right)^m &\rightarrow \infty \quad \text{when } p < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

such that if we define

$$v^{m,t} := D^m(u) + t \sum_{b \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_b(D)^m c_b v_b,$$

where $t \in [0, t_m]$ when $p = \infty$, and $t \in p^{t_m} \mathbb{Z}_p$ when $p < \infty$, then $v^{m,t} \in \Omega$ and for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $m > m(\epsilon)$, the set $\pi^{-1}(\Upsilon - r)$ forms an ϵ -net for $\{v^{m,t}\}$.

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

As in the previous section, $\Upsilon = \{\pi(A^m B^n v) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and Υ' is the set of limit points of Υ .

We first assume that Υ' contains a torsion point r fixed by Σ and apply Lemma 4.3. Let

$$\lambda := \max_{b \in \mathcal{J}} |\lambda_b(D)|_p \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{K} := \{b \in \mathcal{J} : |\lambda_b(D)|_p = \lambda\}.$$

We take a sequence $t'_m < t_m$ such that

$$(10) \quad t'_m \lambda^m \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad t'_m \left(\max_{b \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{K}} |\lambda_b(D)|_p \right)^m \rightarrow 0$$

when $p = \infty$, and

$$(11) \quad p^{-t'_m} \lambda^m \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad p^{-t'_m} \left(\max_{b \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \mathcal{K}} |\lambda_b(D)|_p \right)^m \rightarrow 0$$

when $p < \infty$. Let

$$w^{m,t} = D^m(u) + t \sum_{b \in \mathcal{K}} \lambda_b(D)^m c_b v_b$$

where $t \in [0, t'_m]$ when $p = \infty$, and $t \in p^{t'_m} \mathbb{Z}_p$ when $p < \infty$. It follows from (10) and (11) that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $m > m(\epsilon)$, $\{v^{m,t}\}$ forms an ϵ -net for $\{w^{m,t}\}$. This shows that we may assume that in Lemma 4.3 $|\lambda_b(D)|_p = \lambda$ for all $b \in \mathcal{J}$. We write $\lambda_b(D) = \lambda \omega_b$ where $|\omega_b|_p = 1$.

We claim that there exists $1 \leq m_0 \leq |\mathcal{J}|$ such that

$$(12) \quad c(m_0) := \sum_{b \in \mathcal{J}} \omega_b^{m_0} c_b \neq 0.$$

Indeed, suppose that $c(m) = 0$ for all $1 \leq m \leq |\mathcal{J}|$. This implies that the $(|\mathcal{J}| \times |\mathcal{J}|)$ -matrix

$$(\lambda_b(D)^m)_{b \in \mathcal{J}, 1 \leq m \leq |\mathcal{J}|}$$

is degenerate. However, it follows from Lemma 4.2(ii) that $\lambda_{b_1}(D) \neq \lambda_{b_2}(D)$ for $b_1 \neq b_2$, which is a contradiction. Hence, (12) holds.

We claim that there exists a subsequence $m_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\omega_b^{m_i} \rightarrow \omega_b^{m_0}$ for all $b \in \mathcal{J}$. To show this, we consider the rotation on the compact abelian group $\{|z|_p = 1\}^{\mathcal{J}}$ defined by the vector $(\omega_b)_{b \in \mathcal{J}}$. Since the orbit closure of the identity is minimal, it follows that $(\omega_b^m)_{b \in \mathcal{J}} \rightarrow (1, \dots, 1)$ along a subsequence, and the claim follows.

We consider the cases $p = \infty$ and $p < \infty$ separately. Suppose that $p = \infty$. We observe that by (5),

$$\Pi(v^{m,t}) = z_m + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{J}} \{t \lambda^m \omega_b^m c_b\}_\infty = z_m + \{t \lambda^m c(m)\}_\infty \pmod{1},$$

where $z_m = \Pi(D^m(u))$. Since

$$t_{m_i} \lambda^{m_i} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad c(m_i) \rightarrow c(m_0) \neq 0,$$

we conclude that for all sufficiently large i ,

$$\Pi(\{v^{m_i, t}\}_{0 \leq t \leq t_{m_i}}) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

On the other hand, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $i > i(\epsilon)$, the set $\pi^{-1}(\Upsilon - r)$ forms an ϵ -net for $\{v^{m_i, t}\}_{0 \leq t \leq t_{m_i}}$. Therefore, since Π is continuous, it follows that $\Pi(\Upsilon - r)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , which completes the proof of the theorem.

Now suppose that $p < \infty$. In this case, $\lambda = p^{-n}$, and

$$\Pi(v^{m, t}) = z_m + \sum_{b \in \mathcal{J}} \{tp^{-mn} \omega_b^m c_b\}_p = z_m + \{tp^{mn} c(m)\}_p \pmod{1}.$$

For all sufficiently large i , we have $|c(m_i)|_p = |c(m_0)| = p^l$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Pi(v^{m_i, t})\}_{t \in p^{t_{m_i}} \mathbb{Z}_p} &= z_{m_i} + \{p^{t_{m_i} - nm_i + l} \mathbb{Z}_p\}_p \\ &= z_{m_i} + \left\{ \sum_{j=t_{m_i} - nm_i + l}^{-1} c_j p^j : 0 \leq c_j \leq p-1 \right\} \pmod{1}, \end{aligned}$$

and this set is $p^{t_{m_i} - nm_i + l}$ -dense in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Since $p^{-t_{m_i} + nm_i} \rightarrow \infty$, for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $i > i(\epsilon)$ this set forms an ϵ -net for \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . On the other hand, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large i , the set $\pi^{-1}(\Upsilon - r)$ forms an ϵ -net for $\{v^{m_i, t}\}_{t \in p^{t_{m_i}} \mathbb{Z}_p}$. Hence, we conclude that $\Pi(\Upsilon - r)$ is dense in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

This completes the proof of the theorem under the assumption that Υ' contains a torsion point r fixed by Σ . To prove the theorem in general, we observe that by Lemma 3.3 there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and a torsion point $r \in \Upsilon'$ such that $A^s(r) = B^s(r) = r$. Then there exist $0 \leq m_0, n_0 \leq s-1$ such that r is an accumulation point for $\{\pi(A^{ms+m_0} B^{ns+n_0} v) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Applying the above argument to the semigroup $\Sigma' = \langle A^s, B^s \rangle$ and the vector $v' = A^{m_0} B^{n_0} v$, we establish the theorem in general.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Berend, *Multi-invariant sets on tori*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **280** (1983), 509–532.
- [2] ———, *Minimal sets on tori*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems. **4** (1984), 499–507.
- [3] ———, *Multi-invariant sets on compact abelian groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **286** (1984), 505–535.
- [4] ———, *Dense (mod 1) dilated semigroups of algebraic numbers*. J. Number Theory. **26** (1987), 246–256.
- [5] B. Kra, *A generalization of Furstenberg's Diophantine theorem*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127**(7) (1999), 1951–1956.
- [6] R. Urban, *On density modulo 1 of some expressions containing algebraic integers*. Acta Arith. **127**(3) (2007), 217–229.
- [7] ———, *Algebraic numbers and density modulo 1*. J. Number Theory **128** (2008), 645–661.

- [8] ———, *Algebraic numbers and density modulo 1, II*. Unif. Distrib. Theory **5** (2010), 111–132.

(AG,SK) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL BRISTOL BS8 1TW, U.K.

E-mail address, AG: `a.gorodnik@bristol.ac.uk`

E-mail address, SK: `shirali.kadyrov@bristol.ac.uk`